

[up](#)

EP 1208495: PROCESS FOR DETERMINING OBJECT LEVEL PROFITABILITY

- [Data Sheet](#)
- [Application \(text\)](#)

Data Sheet

Equivalents:

AU4206900

EC Classification:

G06F17/60D

IPC Classification:

G06F17/60

Priority Number(s):

US19990128769P 19990409

Application Number:

WO2000US09189 20000407

Requested Patent:

EP1208495

Applicant(s):

BERKELEY IEOR (US)

Inventor(s):

LEPMAN RICHARD TAD

Publication date:

2000-10-19

Patent Number:

☐ WO0062224

Invention:

PROCESS FOR DETERMINING OBJECT LEVEL PROFITABILITY

Application

- [Description](#)
- [Claims](#)

Description

Description

PROCESS FOR DETERMINING OBJECT
LEVEL PROFITABILITY
BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The process of measuring profit is an important business activity. Profit measures are the primary basis for understanding financial performance and value creation in a business. Once a business is owned publicly, an independent review of a firm's financial position becomes a mandatory process well known as measuring profit according to "generally accepted accounting principal" (GAAP.) While these standards and regulations are adequate for an external view of a company's financial condition, the measurement of profit contribution amongst the business is required for proper management of the franchise. Internal financial performance measurement is especially complex for a multi-product, multi-location, and/or large customer based businesses. The use of internal financial performance measures drives most businesses planning processes, management incentive processes and control processes. Many businesses have found that internal profit measures can be consistent with the external financial statement measures. These businesses implement internal accounting processes consistent with external measures using common metrical units similar to a GAAP Financial Statement presentation-a consistent metric or "yard stick" (i. e. numerically the sum-of-the-profit-parts equals the whole company's profit according to GAAP.) Many businesses today are struggling to accurately measure profit contribution at a level necessary to accurately measure profit contribution of individual customer interactions. The reason for dilemma is found in the manner in which generally accepted accounting principles are applied.

Fundamental accounting theory takes lumpy cash flows that occur in the day-to-day management of a business conducted with its customers and transform them into smoothed income or expense items (known as accruals.)

At the end of every profit reporting cycle these income and expense items are consolidated into a period end balance sheet and income statements. Reports on the state of the business can then be presented by accountants in formats necessary for the independence of ownership and management that is the basis of capital markets. Indeed, most businesses today would call its accounting process critical for survival. Unfortunately, the complexity of maintaining an accurate financial accounting process has obscured the measurement of profit contribution at a very detailed level. While the aggregate cash flows of a large company are relatively stable the individual customer-to-business cash flows are very volatile. Accounting practice to date

has been comfortable with using aggregate cash flow information for the accrual accounting process. The accounting process based on aggregates

has lead to blindness by businesses of incremental customer profit contribution measures necessary to implement customer level decision making, particularly in large businesses with many millions of customers.

General Ledgers (double entry book keeping systems) were early adapters of automated data processing solutions due to the match between

computing capabilities of computers and the execution of the accounting

process. The benefit, from reduced cost for accounting processes easily

justified large expenditures in information processing technology, both in

hardware and in software development. The complexity of today's general

ledger applications and the age of these systems have retarded the

innovation

of new automated techniques taking advantage of technological advances in

massively parallel computing capability.

References describing generally accepted accounting principals and procedures are listed below, and are incorporated by reference herein:

The money market/, Marcia Stigum. 3rd ed. Homewood, III. :

Dow Jones-Irwin, c1990.

Money market and bond calculations/, Marcia Stigum and

Franklin L. Robinson. Chicago: Irwin Professional Publ., c1996.

Money market calculations: yields, break-evens, and arbitrage/, Marcia Stigum, in collaboration with John Mann. Homewood, III. : Dow

Jones-Irwin, c1981.

The money market: myth, reality, and practice/, Marcia

Stigum. Homewood, III.: Dow Jones-Irwin, c1978

Quantifying the market risk premium phenomenon for investment decision making: September 26-27, 1989, New York, New York/, Keith P.

Ambachtsheer... [et al.]; edited by William F. Sharpe and Katrina F.

Sherrerd; sponsored by the Institute of Chartered Financial Analysts.

Charlottesville,

VA: CFA: May be ordered from Association for Investment Management and Research, c1990

Fundamentals of investments/, Gordon J.

Alexander, William F. Sharpe, Jeffery V. Bailey. 2nd ed. Englewood

Cliffs,

N. J.: Prentice Hall, c1993.

Microeconomics/, Richard G. Lipsey. [et al.]. 9th ed. New York :

Harper & Row, c1990.

Economics of the firm: theory and practice/, Arthur A. Thompson, Jr.,

John P. Formby. 6th ed. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice Hall, c1993.

The FASB conceptual framework project, 1973-1985: an analysis/,

Pelham Gore. Manchester, UK; New York: Manchester University Press ;

New York, NY, USA: Distributed exclusively in the USA and Canada by

St.

Martin's Press, c1992.

Statement of financial accounting standards no. 5: impact on corporate

risk and insurance management/, Robert C. Goshay. Stamford,

Conn.: Financial Accounting Standards Board of the Financial

Accounting

Foundation, 1978.

Common cents: the ABC performance breakthrough: how to succeed with

activity-based costing/, Peter B. B. Turney. Hillsboro, OR: Cost

Technology, 1991.

A guide to the SQL standard; a user's guide to the standard relational

language SQL/, Date, C. J.: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., 1987.

Accountants SEC practice manual, Kellogg, Howard L.: Commerce

Clearing House, 1971.

Risk theory; the stochastic basis of insurance/, Beard, R. E. (Robert

Eric): 3rd ed., Chapman and Hall, 1984.

Practical risk theory for actuaries/, Daykin, C. D. (Chris D.) : 1 st

ed.,

Chapman & Hall, 1994.

Actuarial mathematics/ : 2nd ed., Society of Actuaries, 1997.

Objectives and concepts underlying financial statements/United

Nations, 1989.

Cost accounting for factory automation/National Association of

Accountants, 1987.

Interest rate risk models:; theory and practice/ : Glenlake Publ. Co.

Fitzroy Dearborn, 1997.

Economic analysis for management decisions, Elliott, Jan Walter: R. D.

Irwin, 1973.

Microeconomic theory/, Ferguson, C. E. (Charles E.): 4th ed. R. D.

Irwin, 1975.

Planning and measurement in your organization of the future/, Sink, D. Scott.: Industrial Engineering and Management Press, 1989.

Economics/, Paul A. Samuelson, William D.

Nordhaus. 16th ed. Boston, Mass: Irwin/McGraw-Hill, c1998.

Setting intercorporate pricing policies/, Business International

Corporation, New York: Business International Corporation, 1973.

Controversies on the theory of the firm, overhead allocation, and transfer pricing/, Murry C. Wells, editor. New York: Arno Press, 1980.

The transfer pricing problem: a theory for practice/,

Robert G. Eccles. Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books, 1985.

Transfer pricing/, Clive R. Emmanuel and Messaoud Mehafdi.

London; San Diego: Academic Press, 1994.

Internal transfer pricing of bank funds/, by Valerie Giardini. Rolling Meadows, III.: Bank Administration Institute, 1983.

Transfer pricing: economic, managerial, and accounting principles by Clark J. Chandler... [et al.] Washington, D. C.: Tax Management, Inc., 1995.

International intracorporate pricing; non-American systems and views, Jeffrey S. Arpan. New York, Praeger Publishers, 1971.

There remains, however, a need to resolve profit measures at a detailed level without using analytical models or statistical extrapolation. Such

a process should utilize rule driven and data base measurement processes

which will give large scale businesses a lower cost of maintenance and a

technologically scalable tool to measure profit at a level of precision or

resolution not possible in prior financial performance measurement processes.

The present invention fulfills this need and provides other related advantages.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Prior approaches to management's desire for an accurate measure of individual decisions (incremental or marginal) profit impact have been solved

by automating the accounting process for implementing accounting methods.

Cash flows are transformed into two parts, a debit part or credit part,

according to an accounting rule. Other non-cash accounting rules are implemented to create "accrual" debits and credits smoothing income and expenses and adjusting for future contingencies. (see Management Accounting Theory Book or any source of accounting theory, where the balance sheet equation and the consolidation process, the combination of flows and stocks of financial data, are developed.) The first large scale use of automated computing technology is frequently found to be the automation of the financial control or accounting processes, since it is easy to develop software to implement accountancy rules and there were large benefits in staff productivity easily observable. For businesses to observe marginal profit contributions it was necessary to use accounting information and make reasoned conclusion on how to apportion or extrapolate this information into incremental customer, product or organizational profit detail. (See Fig. 1)

What these methods of profit measurement lack are the adequate level of detail to measure an individual or incremental decision's impact on profit.

To gain this new level of profit resolution this invention is designed to use micro profit measurement rules applied at a granular level consistent with standard accounting practice using a combination of actuarial science and mathematical set theory. The invention is designed to utilize massively

parallel computing operations using relational database management techniques enabling profit measurement at a level not available today in a

large individual customer scale business. This invention does this through a consistent application of measures to a class of business entities which

represent the smallest common component of profit measurement desired the Profit Object.

The invention's method of apportionment of non-object related profit measures specifies a method which will not change the ordinal or cardinal

profit contribution ranking when only marginal profit measures are counted.

This specification is what makes it possible to apply marginal measurement

rules (see Micro-economic theory literature) with macro economic principals;

namely the sum-of-the-parts equals the whole criterion which is the basis of

financial accounting theory and practice.

The invention decomposes profit measurement analytical calculations into five classifications:

1. Marginal profit measures associated with use of the business' balance sheet resources;

2. Marginal measures of non-balance oriented revenues;

3. Marginal cost measures;

4. Marginal measures of expected costs or revenues; and,

5. Apportioned cost measures.

This classification provides for additive profit measures across the five components. The calculation process is designed to be independent across classes & 4 above with the addition of class five to preserve sum-of-the-parts integrity without simultaneous calculations typically found in profit measurement processes. When all five profit measures are summed at the lowest level of profit detail, a consistent set of profit values for all types of aggregations are possible—all profit measurement then originates from the same point in a profit database. The simultaneous use of these five analytical frameworks makes possible a detailed level of profit calculation consistent with GAAP.

In particular, the present invention relates to a process for determining object level profitability. In its basic form the process includes the steps of:

1. Preparing information to be accessed electronically;

2. Establishing rules for processing the prepared information;

3. Calculating at least one marginal value of profit using established rules as applied to a selected set of prepared information;

4. Calculating a fully absorbed value of profit adjustment using established rules as applied to the selected set of prepared information; and,

5. Combining the at least one marginal value of profit and fully absorbed value of profit adjustment to create a measure for object level of

profitability.

More specifically in the step of preparing information to be accessed electronically, the database is prepared, object attributes are extracted, conditioned and loaded into the database, and financial statement attributes are extracted, conditioned and loaded into the database. If desired the step may also include extracting, conditioning and loading the event attributes into the database, and calculating funds transfer treatment rates.

In the step of establishing for processing the prepared information for rule establishment providing the information necessary to select objects and perform the correct profit calculus is accomplished. The step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit using established rules as applied to a selected set of prepared information includes calculating net interest, other revenue, direct expense, and/or provision for the selected set of prepared information. Net Interest (NI) is the summation of interest income, value of funds provided and earnings on equity funds used less the sum of interest expense and cost of funds used. Other Revenues (OR) is a measure of profit contribution from non-interest related sources. Direct Expense (DE) is the profit value reduction due to marginal resource consumption by the object.

Provisioning (P) is the expected profit value adjustment for future outcomes related to the object.

The step of fully absorbed profit adjustment, Indirect Expense (IE), is an apportioned profit value adjustment for all non-object related resource consumption by the business.

In the step of combining the five profit values, $NI + OR - DE - P - IE$, may be adjusted for taxes and/or object economic value.

The foregoing elements of the invention, which have been explained at a micro elemental level can be advantageously employed in massive amounts

and parallel process power. For example, in the macro perspective of the

invention the basic steps can be utilized.

The present invention gives management profit measures tailored to its need for accurate decision oriented profit information required to manage a

large organization based on profit measurement. This invention gives businesses the ability to resolve profit measures at a level of detail necessary

for all types of application of profit oriented performance measurement.

Other features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following more detailed description, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings which illustrated, by way of example, the principles of the invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings illustrate the invention. In such drawings:

FIGURE 1 shows existing profit calculation process flow.

FIGURE 2 shows analytical processing relationships.

FIGURE 3 shows the invention's information flow.

FIGURE 4 shows the invention's data relationships.

FIGURE 5 shows the invention's process flow.

FIGURE 6 shows the invention's database preparation process step detail.

FIGURE 7 shows the rule maintenance process.

FIGURE 8 shows the net interest measuring process

FIGURE 9 shows the other revenue measuring process.

FIGURE 10 shows the direct expense measuring process.

FIGURE 11 shows the provision measuring process.

FIGURE 12 shows the indirect expense measuring process.

FIGURE 13 shows the profit component aggregation and adjustment process.

FIGURE 14 shows a partial relational database schema for an airline industry example.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

As shown in the accompanying drawings for purposes of illustration, the present invention is concerned with a detail profit metric (DPM) designed

to be a computer database application (i. e. software) for profitability

measurement. DPM's profit measurement system is fundamentally different from the common profit measurement system used by regulators and public accountancy-yet, it is consistent with generally accepted accounting principals. DPM is based on object level detail of cash flows, customer events and management allocations of profit arising from non-customer related events. DPM provides both marginal and fully absorbed profit measures, something traditional "general ledger" based profit accounting systems can not accomplish due to reliance on aggregate debit and credit amounts (see Figs.

2-4.)

The following is a definition of the inputs (attributes or measurement parameters), the method of processing and the output of the DPM process.

Definitions

Object Attributes

These are data about the object being measured. Different businesses have different objects of detailed profit measurement. Examples of profit measurement objects include an airline using "seat" as the profit object, an insurance company using a "policy" object or a bank use an "account" object-these objects represent the lowest level of detail required for consistent internal multi-dimensional internal profit analyses. Types of data attributes associated with these objects include: balances, rates (or interest accrued), product identification, exposures, expected loss frequency, and various dates (e. g., start, finish, rate reset, last payment, next payment, life, etc.)

Event Attributes

These data are about events (a resource consuming activity) related to the object being measured. Data found here include object identification, transaction amounts, quantities, event location, event time, counter-party identification, event type (e. g., payments, interest

paid or earned, purchases, refunds, etc.) At least one of these attributes must relate the event to at least one Object.

Financial Statement Attributes

These are data about the company's financial statement. Data found here include balance sheet and profit statement amounts usually aggregated by the legal or management entities that own a group of objects being measured. These data will be current accounting period either actual or planned.

Profit Measurement Parameters

These data include parameter values necessary to perform the object or event level profit calculations. The major classifications of these data are:

* Funds valuing rates ("Treatment Rates")-DPM's funds transfer pricing method uses maturity opportunity rates used in valuing each object's marginal use or source of internal funds (balance sheet resources).

* Unit Costs-DPM's Direct Expense calculations require unit cost parameters. DPM can calculate unit costs, when unit cost data are not available; in these instances, if the total cost is provided a financial statement attribute and then unit cost is derived by dividing total cost by an appropriate attribute quantity amount.

. Allocated Amounts-In both Other Revenue and Indirect Expense calculations this amount is apportioned amongst all objects in a group.

Miscellaneous Calculation Values-Some of DPM's calculations require non-system of record values. For example, number of days in profit measurement period or equity allocation weighting. These values are known as "modeling" parameters.

* Amortization Parameters-Interest amortization requires an interest rate and expected life attributes. Straight line and declining balance methods of amortization require expected life values.

Expected Profit Adjustment Measurement Parameters-Provision calculations require appropriate attributes, such as: expected loss rates, reserve percentages, exposure factors, recovery rates, default probabilities and collection costs.

Tax Rates-Tax rates are required for after-tax profit calculation. DPM is designed to calculate pre-tax income on a taxable equivalent basis (where an single effective tax rate is all that is required to transform

pre-tax income into after tax earnings.)

Profit Measurement Rule Specification

DPM's processing approach is to combine profit measurement techniques with (non-modeled) data and calculation parameters. Each application of this calculus is called a rule. DPM is designed to allow

the user the freedom to associate a group of objects with a rule and to

use object-level information in combination with rule parameters to calculate profit values. The DPM invention uses profit measurement rules separate from, but applied to, object data and the use of relational

database concepts, giving the user a flexibility in both the assignment

and depth of definition of measurement rules and measurement resolution. Use of this method is especially suited for massively parallel computing technology where linear scaleable capital investment in processing technology is possible vis-a-vis object and event count and rule complexity.

The types of calculation (Rule types) are:

Funds Treatment-Every object with cash flows affecting a financial statement's balance sheet requires a method of valuing an object's use or

source of funds. The common name for this approach to valuing is known as "Matched Maturity Funds Transfer Pricing." DPM uses a canonical representation an object's funding characteristics for computational performance. DPM's methodology requires effective yield adjustment to eliminate the allocation of interest payable/receivable required by GAAP.

A value, based on effective yield adjusted market price (the yield curve),

is then determined by DPM for each instance that requires an interest rate

transfer prices to calculate an object's marginal Net Interest (NI).

Equity Allocation-In order for precise net interest revenue or

economic

value adjusting calculations the amount of equity funds required at an object level must be determined. DPM's equity allocation to the object level calculations may use any of the following methods: simple ratios;

regulatory definitions; economic allocations based on econometric modeling (see book on Modern Portfolio Theory) methodologies; or, as statically defined allocations.

Balance Sheet Allocations-Complete calculation of Net Interest may require an object level allocation of some financial statement balance sheet amounts.

Apportionment-In both Other Revenue, Provision and Indirect Expense calculations are applied at the object level using Financial Statement Attributes which are not related directly to an object. These profit adjustments are made so that the sum of all object profit equals the whole

enterprise's profit-an important property of DPM's output. Accountants refer to this profit measurement technique as "full allocation of profit."

DPM's approach is to pool indirect costs and revenues and then apportion

them. Apportionment rules specify how the pool is completely allocated to

appropriate objects. DPM uses a specific closed form (mathematical formula that require only information known in the current period and no

iterative computation) allocation rules.

Amortization-Some types of income or expense are deferred or accrued over multiple periods including and subsequent to the current accounting

period. This is common to accrual accounting methods used in financial statement profit presentation and give rise to timing differences between

cash flows and their related profit as presented in a financial statement in

any accounting period. Since DPM is designed to mirror a financial statement's profit measure it must support deferral and accrual accounting

principals. Amortization methods are included in DPM to reflect these GAAP concepts. DPM's amortization methods include: interest method of amortization (used for interest income and expense accruals and for deferral of fees that are in lieu of interest); and, straight line or declining

balance amortization methods (used for cost or income deferrals and capitalized investment depreciation.) 'Other Revenue Pricing-In situations where object and event activity can

be used to derive object level income or fees DPM provides for the calculation of these drivers of profitability in Other Revenue profit calculations. These calculations take the mathematical form of a linear

combination of event or object values and modeled coefficients.

Direct Expense-Calculation of object profit adjustment due to object related activity requires rules that take the form of linear combinations of

event or object values and modeled coefficients.

'indirect Expense-in situations where expense apportionment or amortization amounts are aggregated the user may want different rules applied depending on the path (or dimension) of aggregation. These rules

allow for multiple profit calculations rules to be applied to derive

multiple

object level indirect expense amounts:

Provision-Adjusting current profit for expected future value changes is

known as "actuarial" profit provisioning. The technique is well known by the

financial industry's accounting practice. DPM applies actuarial based methods in its object level profit calculations where the Provision pre

adjusts profit for contingent or known exposures to future profit.

Taxable Equivalent Gross-up-Profit is usually an after-tax measure.

Some events or portions of some object profit may be excluded from normal taxation. DPM's approach is to adjust these pre-tax values so that

a singular tax rate can be used to convert pre-tax profit into after-tax

values, known as taxable equivalent adjustment. For the purpose of the remaining detailed description all profit and loss profit measures are tax

equivalent amounts (e. g., $TEG * Amount$.) These rules use object and event attributes to drive an adjustment for each of the five classes of profit

amounts to a taxable equivalent basis.

Interest Yield Adjustments-Since DPM can derive profit for any length of

accounting period from daily to annual, the adjustment of cash interest

payments and the financial statement's accrual or smoothed representation of interest related Profit, DPM requires a method for converting cash interest amounts to financial statement accrual amounts.

DPM implements the mathematical concept of "effective interest rate" conversion to accomplish this type of calculation.

Before the calculation rules can be applied at the object or event level a calculation incrementally migrate objects to increased measurement precision as justified.

This valuable piecewise increase in functionality is possible due to DPM's combination of rules and data in a mathematical set theoretic framework. This approach allows for a relational database management system implementation. It is nearly impossible develop and maintain procedural based software with as much flexibility and with the capability to simultaneously support the number of calculation permutations required by

DPM.

Restatement Functionality

Since DPM is a rule based system the ability to restate prior period's Profit calculations are systematically possible providing historical data exists.

DPM's design of object level profit measurement enables a unique historical profit restatement capability. Three features of DPM's restatement capability are:

1. Produces a mathematically consistent time series (i. e. no measurement bias) of object level Profit. DPM restatement functionality is designed to apply the same Rules to all available historical object or event level data.
2. DPM's restatement functionality preserves accrual objecting integrity when the object history is restated for different length accounting

periods.

Implementations of daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, semi-annual, or annual profit calculations and mixing different periodicity in historical data

without loss of analytical integrity.

3. Capable of object's profit history restatement. If a DPM user changes

Rules or Rule Maps and/or changes the way a subset of object's Profit are

calculated and if the historical data is available per the new set of Rules then

the user can restate historical profit measures for these subset of objects.

Processing (see Fig. 5)

1. Populate Database (see Fig. 6)

Perform standard database administration actions to initialize data for the required calculations:

1. Perform database Initialization

2. Extract, condition & load object attributes

3. Extract, condition & load event attributes

4. Extract, condition & load financial statement attributes

5. Calculate and populate NI treatment rate attributes

2. MAINTAIN OBJECT GROUPS AND RULE MAPS (SEE FIG. 7)

Populate or edit Rule parameters necessary to perform calculations.

Rules definition is by association of specific, non-iterative calculation, as described below, with a set of object or event attributes defined as a data filter (see Relational Data Base Management System textbook). Rules have two pieces:

1. Parameters to drive the object selection or data filter for calculations; and, 2. Parameters specific to the appropriate calculation methodology.

An easy-to-use graphical user interface can be used to maintain these data.

Steps 3 through 6 perform object and event level profit calculations.

Steps 3, 4, 5, and 6 can be processed independently, step 7 requires values

derived in step 3, 4, 5, and 6 and therefore occurs sequentially.

3. Calculate Net Interest for All Objects (see Fig. 8)

Net Interest is:

$$NI = \text{Interest Income} - \text{Cost of Funds} + \text{Value of Funds} - \text{Interest Expense} + \text{Earning on Allocated Equity}$$

Correct interest rates for calculation of interest income or expense depend on the length of the profit measurement period. Using actuarial mathematical techniques the bookkeeping required by GAAP for interest receivables and Payables can be avoided in NI calculus. A known technique (see M Stigum, Money Markets) to accomplish this adjustment for profit measurement according to GAAP (i. e. accruals) the following calculation is used to convert interest rates:

Let r_{new} = annualized rate with new compounding factor

r = annualized rate with old compounding factor

m = number of old compounding periods per year

n = number of new compounding periods per year

Then

NI CALCULATION RULE TYPE I

The object balance is either an asset or a liability amount for Type I calculation.

Let AAB (o = Average Asset Balance of the object or

ALB (o_j) = Average Liability Balance of the object or

rate asset (o) Effective interest rate for object o_i as an asset balance

rate liabilityUi) = Effective interest rate for object oi as a liability balance
 Rt = Treatment rate based on the identified treatment for the object's product attributes
 Int Inc (oj) = Interest Income of object ou
 COF (o = Cost of funds used by object ou
 Int Exp (o = Interest Expense for object ou
 VOF (oi) = Value of funds provided by object ou
 Then,
 (oi)=AAB(oi)*rateasset(oi)IntInc
 {Compute only if object attribute doesn't exist}
 COF (oi) (oi)*RtAAB
 (oi)=ALB(oi)*rateliability(oi)IntExp
 {Compute only if object attribute doesn't exist}
 VOF ALB(oi)*Rt=
 NI CALCULATION RULE TYPE II
 Let AB(c,t(oi) = Average Balances of the object oi
 rate (e. t) (o,) = Effective interest rate for the corresponding balance asset or liability
 Rt (o) = Object o's product type/group as needed to identify treatment rate
 R (et) (pt (o)) = Rate (treatment rate) for objects of this product type/group, given the balance class, and tier
 Int Inc(oi) = Interest Income of object ou
 COF (o = Cost of funds used by object ou
 Int Exp (o = Interest Expense for object ou
 VOF (oi) = Value of funds provided by object oi
 Then, where summations are over the possible balance variables (class, tier) for the object,
 AB (, (Oj)*rate.t) (o.)
 {calculate only if object attribute doesn't exist}
 y
 COF (oj) = E t AB (assetec. t) (i) R (assetc"t) R (cat) (pt (o))
 Vc, t
 y
 P (i) tC t AB (liabilityc"t) (j) * rate (liabilityct) (j)
 Oc, t
 {calculate only if object attribute doesn't exist}
 y
 VOF (o.) = ,,, (o. rRtyc. t) R (c..) (pt (o))
 Vc, t
 Allocated Balances
 Let Total Amount = Balance Sheet amount to be allocated to object
 RuleforallocatingAmountRule=
 Then, DPM calculates the allocation to object o to determine the allocated balance:
 B (o Rule applied to (Total Amount)
 The Allocated Balance
 Treat this balance as any one of the average balances associated with the object, where class is specified by users, tier is "allocated".
 Thus, Bi(oi) is one of the AB(c,t)(oi) defined above.
 NI CALCULATION RULE TYPE III
 Let AB (c. t) (o.) = Average Balances of the object oi
 rate (c. t) (o,) = Effective interest rate for the corresponding balance
 Type p,a(oi) = Object oi's product and object attributes as needed to identify treatment
 R(c,t)(typep, a (o i)) = Rate (treatment rate) for this object's product
 type, given the balance class, and tier/tenor

Int Inc (o = Interest Income of object ou
 COF (o = Cost of funds used by object o,
 Int Exp (o = Interest Expense for object ou
 VOF (oj) = Value of funds provided by object oi
 Then, where summations are over the possible balance attributes
 (state, tier) for the object,

y
 Int Inc (oj) = $\sum_{t} AB(assets, t) (i) \cdot rate(assets, t) (i)$
 Vc, t

{calculate only if object attribute doesn't exist}
 $AB(assets, t) (oi) \cdot R(assets, type, a, b (oi))$
 ho, t
 $Vc,$

y
 Int Exp (oj) = $\sum_{t} AB(liabilities, t) (i) \cdot rate(liabilities, t) (i)$
 Vu, t
 {calculate only if object attribute doesn't exist}

VOF (o.) = $\sum_{t} AB(assets, t) (oi) \cdot R(assets, type, a, b (oi))$

NI CALCULATION RULE TYPE IV

Let $AB(c, t)(oi)$ = Average Balances of the object
 $rate(c, t)(oi)$ = Effective interest rate for the corresponding balance
 amounts

$type, a, b (oi)$ = Object oi's product, object attribute, and
 behavior types as needed to identify treatment

rate
 $R(c, type, a, b(oi))$ = Rate (treatment rate) for objects of this
 product

type, balance class, and tier/tenor

Int Inc(oi) = Interest Income of object ou

COF (o = Cost of funds used by object ou

Int Exp (o = Interest Expense for object ou

VOF (o ;) = Value of funds provided by object ou

Then, where summations are over the possible balance variables (state,
 tier) for the object,

$tnt, tnc (o.) = \sum_{t} AB(assets, t) (oi) \cdot rate(assets, t) (oi)$
 {calculate only if object attribute doesn't exist}

$COF(assets, t) \cdot R(assets, t, type, a, b ;$

$) ntExp (o ;) = \sum_{t} AB(liabilities, t) (oi) \cdot rate(liabilities, t) (oi) ;$

{calculate only if object attribute doesn't exist}

VOF (oj) = $\sum_{t} AB(assets, t) (oi) \cdot R(assets, t, type, a, b (oi))$

NI CALCULATION RULE TYPE V

Any Net interest calculation that is non-iterative, canonical, and
 represents the marginal GAAP valuation of an object's balance sheet
 resource related revenues or expenses for each (ou).

Note that in firms that are highly leveraged, the use of COF/VOF
 separation leads to a significant and volatile piece of NI, the net
 difference between to sum of COF and the sum of VOF (after adjusting
 for EOAE per the following section) and the firm's total NI. This is
 known by a banker as "mismatch profits arising from the difference in
 tenors" (duration) of the assets and liabilities. If VOF and COF rates
 are based on matched maturity of objects then the difference between
 the firms total NI and the sum of the objects is the profit arising
 from the firm having different duration of balance sheet related
 objects. Since this profit is not related to a specific object, but
 the combination of objects in the enterprise, a separate profit
 measure is appropriate and possible using DPM's approach. The use of
 the rules above allow for a novel method of calculating funds transfer
 pricing, since the rules are based on sets, the processing can be
 preformed in parallel. Further, since the rules are canonical this
 approach leads to a computationally efficient method of calculating

these types of profit values.

NI CALCULATIONS OF EOAE

Since GAAP financial statement's balance sheet is based on the balancing equation Assets equals Liabilities plus Equity, NI should be adjusted for the value of the Equity resource consumed by each Asset or Liability object. Calculating Earnings on Allocated Equity as part of an object's NI:

Four exclusive options are provided for allocating equity to objects.

DPM's options are as follows:

Option 1 No calculation of EOAE.

Option 2 EOAE calculation based on a simple equity ratio.

Option 3 Equity allocation for all assets following regulatory standards.

Option 4 Equity allocation using an economic allocation rule, based on object cohorts and modern portfolio theory's capital asset pricing model.

Option 1

$EOAE(o_i) = 0$

Option

Let $AB(asset, t)(o,)$ = Average Asset balances of the object o^* , including any allocated asset balances

ER = Equity Ratio

R_{equity} = Treatment Rate for equity

Then, $EOAE(o_j) = R_{equity} * ER * \sum AB(asset, t)(o_s)$

where the summation is taken over all asset balances.

Option 3

Let Amount $(o = \text{Amount}(s) \text{ associated with object 'a'}$ This may be the average asset balances of the object o ; ' including any allocated asset balances, or may be an object parameter, etc.

$Wt(\text{type})$ = Code needed to identify the weight for object o balances, at the object-type level

$W(Wt, \text{type})$ = determined by the weight code

Cap Ratio = An appropriate risk-weighted capital ratio chosen

R_{equity} = Treatment Rate for equity

Then, $EOAE(o_{ui})$

$[\sum \text{Amount}(o_i) * W(Wt(o_{ui})) * \text{Cap Ratio}]$

where the summation is taken over all balances of o_i if there are multiple amounts.

Option 4

Let Amount $(o = \text{An amount or amounts related to the object, such as average balances of the object (denoted } AB(c, s, t)(o_i))$

Cohort $(o_j) = \text{The cohort of objects in which object } o \text{ is a member}$

Ecohort $(o_i) = \text{The equity allocation rule for the cohort of object } o$.

This is a linear (two-valued) function that operates on Amount (o_j) of the form $a + * \text{Amount}(o)$.

$Requity = \text{Treatment Rate}(s) \text{ for equity for the Amount}(o_j) \text{ value}(s)$

Then, $EOAE(o_i)$

$= Requity * \sum E(o) (\text{Amount}(o_j))$

$= E Requity [a + \text{Amount}(o)]$

where summation occurs if Amount (o_i) is a set of values (such as the object and allocated balances related to the object).

4. Calculate Other Revenue for All Objects (see Fig. 9)

OR Calculation Rule Type/

Let $OR_i = \text{Financial Statement Other Revenue attribute subset}$,

$OR_j(o_j) = \text{The amount of } OR_i \text{ apportioned to object } o_j$,

$O(OR) = \text{the objects that map to } OR_i$.

Let M be an OR calculation method, where M is dependent upon the OR subset under consideration. We then have the following.

If $M(OR) = a \text{ "balance" attribute method}$.

Define
 $M(OR_i)(o_i) = \text{An average balance method for calculating } o_j \text{'s } OR$
 $Balance(o_i)$
 and $OR_i(o_i) = OR_i - Balance(o_i)$
 $Balance(o_j)$
 i
 where the summation is over all o_i in $o_i(OR_i)$.
 If A "count" method for calculating o_i 's $OR =$
 Define
 $1M(OR_i)(o_i) = \text{and } OR_i * 1 =$
 $(count(o_j) \text{ in } O(OR_i))$
 where the count is over all o_i in $o_i(OR_i)$.
 If $M(OR = \text{"event count", "we can define$
 $M(OR_i)(o_i) = \text{Count of events in profit measurement period for object}$
 o_i
 count of events for object o
 and $OR_j(o_j) = OR_j *$
 $tcount \text{ of events for object } (o_u)$
 i
 where the summation is over all o_{ui} in $o_j(OR_j)$.
 If $M(OR = \text{"event amount"}$
 Define $M(OR_j)(o_j) = (\text{event amounts for } o_i)$
 events over the period
 $(\text{event amounts for object } o_i)$
 $. . -) / \backslash < - \backslash r - < * \text{ events over the period}$
 and $OR_j(o_j) = OR_j * \text{events over the period}$
 $E \text{ amounts for object } o_i)$
 $j \text{ events over the period}$
 where the summation is over all events in $o_i(OR_i)$, and the events are
 restricted to a class of event type. Then, we have the total OR for
 object o given by the sum of these allocations for all sets for which
 a has an association:
 $OR(o_s) = \text{summing over } i.$
 As a generalization, these formulas can be written in shorthand where
 $M(OR)$ is the corresponding function of o above, as:
 $M(OR.) (o_i)$
 $OR_j(o_i) = OR_j \text{ a } j \text{ EA } (OR_j)$
 $1 : M.(OR_i) (o_i,)$
 i
 and
 $-o_i \text{ e } QOR_i) M(OR_i) (o_i)$
 $OR(o ;) - [OR_i * a \text{ EA } (OR ;) '1$
 $i M(OR ;) (or)$
 i
 OR Calculation Rule Type//
 The formula for OR calculation is given as follows :
 Let $o = \text{Object being considered}$
 $OR_i(o_i) = OR$ apportioned to a (if any) from a set OR ,
 $\text{Event } (t) i(o_i) = \text{The event reflecting the activity of object } o_i \text{ in the}$
 period restricted to a given event type t .
 $\text{Amount } (\text{Event}(o_i)) = \text{An event amount}$
 $\text{Rev } (\text{Evt} i(o_i)) = \text{The revenue amount associated with this event.}$
 This is
 assumed to be of the form
 $= L * CoM ((), (o)) + * / M, (o)]]$
 $typet i$
 where $a, \text{ are pre-entered values.}$
 $OR(o = \text{Total } OR \text{ apportioned to object } ou$
 Then $OR(o*) = EE(\text{Rev}(\text{Event}(t) i(a)) \text{ summed over all the events of}$
 $yp. t,$

oi
 + apportioned revenue as in the Type I case.
 $= *CoM (eM, (a)) + *yM, (a)) j +$
 $IYNI i$
 $E (ORj (os))$
 OR Calculation Rule Type III
 Let o = Object being considered
 $ORi(oi)$ = OR apportioned to the object (if any) from a set OR
 Event (t) , (o) = The events reflecting the activity of object o in
 the period
 restricted to a given event type t
 Amount $(Eventi(oi))$ = The event amount.
 $Rev (Eventi(oi))$ = The revenue amount associated with this event.
 This is assumed to be of the form
 $= E [xj * Count (Event (t) (o)) + j * Amt (Event (t) (o))] \text{ where } a, p$
 $typeti$
 are pre-entered values based on event type. For completeness, $a = p =$
 0 in the event amount is null.
 AM = The method of amortization = cash, SL, DB, interest AMk (amount)
 = Deferral on amount, using method AM , at time k ($= 0$ if $k > \text{life}$);
 and $\text{life} = 1$ if cash basis is selected
 $AMnow$ (amount) = Deferra ! on amount, using method M , at this period
 now; note
 that this is at various points of life for various amounts
 Let $OR (o = \text{Total OR apportioned to object } oj.$
 Then $OR (o = \text{Amortized amounts in their first amortization period}$
 + amortized amounts in their higher amortization periods
 + amounts not amortized but apportioned from a pool as in Type I
 We take this step at a time. First, consider the amounts in their
 first amortization period. These are calculated as follows, using the
 formula generated in Type li:
 Then $OR (oui)$
 $= (Rev (Event (t) i (oi)) \text{ summed over all the}$
 transactions of
 + apportioned revenue as in the Type I case.
 $= 1 : E [xi * Count (Event (t), (oi)) +, 6i * Amt (Event (t) i (oi))]$
 $typeti$
 where the AM , methods vary with the event types. We can group the
 amounts being amortized by their amortization characteristics. For
 this purpose, let
 $Pool, (L, M)$ = the pools of amounts amortizing in this period for the
 first time according to life L and amortization method M . The new
 amortizing amounts can be rewritten as follows:
 New amortized amounts
 $= Pool, (L, M)$
 L, M
 Similarly, define
 $oolk (L, M)$ = the pools of amounts amortizing in this period
 for the k th time according to life L and amortization method M , where
 $L \#$
 $k. N. B.$ any amortization calculation can be used if the calculation
 can
 be derived using known Object Attributes.
 Then, the total OR for object oi in this period is computed as
 follows:
 $OR(oj) = LL [xj * Count (Event (t) i (oi)) + j * Amt (Event (t) (oi))]$
 $typeti$
 $+ E E Poolk (L, M)$
 $k > 1 L, M$
 $+ E (ORs (oi))$

= g S Poolk (L, M) + E (ORs (o*))

k L, M i

OR Calculation Rule Type/

Foregone OR:

Let Actual OR for object oi = ORactual(oi) - CASH AMOUNTS

Let Expected OR for object oi = ORepected (oi)

Then, Foregone OR for object oi = ORforegone(oi) = ORepected(oi)

CASHAMOUNTSORactual(oi) -

OR Calculation Rule Type V

Any Other Revenue calculation that is non-iterative, canonical, and represents the entire GGAP valuation of non-balance sheet resource related revenues or expenses.

5. Calculate Direct Expense for All Objects (see Fig. 10)

DE Calculation Rule Type/

None directly specified-use IE calculation rules (any type). For each IE rule used in this way, substitute DE (oj) for IE (oj) in any IE calculations used as DE.

DE Calculation Rule Type 11

Direct expense will be a variable dependent upon the object and the event being costed. These determine the unit cost to be used and the calculation type, along with the multiplier rule being used if external amounts are needed. Thus, using subscripts to indicate variables used,

DEobject, event-type

unit costeventtype * (no. of events of this type in the period)

+ amounts taken from an event file

+ costs calculated as a

percentage of Event Amount Where the unit costs, and revenue

percentage by event type, are all entered by the user as

pre-processing

inputs.

Then, DEobject, -E DEobject, event-type

event types

where the summation is over event types.

DE Calculation Rule Type 111

DEobject, event-type, event-sub

= unit costevent type* (no. of events of this type in the period)

+ amounts taken from an event file

+ costs calculated as a percentage of event amount

DE Calculation Rule Type IV

Two calculations are made; each one using the above calculations, processing two independent DE attributes for each object. DE is calculated twice for each object, allowing for comparison of plan to actual values or standard to actual values or any scenario to scenario comparison.

DE Calculation Rule Type V

Any Direct Expense calculation that is non-iterative, canonical, and represents the entire GGAP valuation of costs related to object or sub-object level details.

6. Calculate Provision for All Objects (see Fig. 11)

P Calculation Rule Type I

The formula for calculating P of Object i is as follows, where PG (oi) denotes the P group in which oi is a member:

P of o i

PE RPG (oi)

EBalance k

k

P Calculation Rule type II

The formula for calculating P of o ; is as follows, using the same symbols as above and RF (oi) denotes the expected adjustment factor

for oi:

Balance (os) * RF (os)

P(oj) = PG (oj) * fpG (oj)

[Balance (oj) * RF (oj)]

k

P Calculation Rule Type III

The formula for calculating P of object "oj" is as follows, where Pr (oj) is a probability for object oi.

$$P(oj) = \sum_{i=1}^{Li} \text{Exposure}(oi) * Pr(oi) * \text{Expected Value Adjustment}(oi)$$

Li

Where L is the expected number of reporting periods during the life of o.

P Calculation Rule Type IV

The addition of any of the Type 1, II or III P rules applicable to an object i.

$$P(oj) = PTypeI + P(oj) * PTypeII + P(oj) * PTypeIII + P(oj) * PTypeIVP(oj) =$$

P Calculation Rule Type V

Any Provision calculation that is non-iterative, canonical, and represents the entire GGAP valuation of expected costs related to future events, contingencies, timing effects.

7. Calculate Indirect Expense for All Objects (see Fig. 12)

Indirect expense, by its nature is not related directly to an Object, therefore apportionment techniques are used to allocate indirect expense to an Object. Any apportionment function is allowed as long as it is derivable at the object level using ratios of attributes available at the object level to the summation of this available attribute across all objects receiving the apportioned expense. Examples of this type of ratio calculation (the function "F" used in the IE calculation types) are:

Ratio 1: Balance-based apportionment of IE.

Define Apportionment ratio using Current Balance of (o ;).

Thus, the allocation of Indirect Expense k becomes (function F (IEk) (oj) in

IE rules below):

Current Balance of o,

E (Current Balance of o j)

i

summed over all objects in grouping j.

Ratio 2: Count-based apportionment of IE

Thus, the allocation of Indirect Expense k becomes (function F (IEk) (oj) in

IE rules below):

$$1 \text{ IEk} * , \text{ for all objects in grouping j.}$$

(count of oj in O(IEk)) 'Ratio 3: Revenue-based apportionment of IE

Define NI (oj) + OR (oi) = Total Revenue (using NI & OR rules above) for (ou).

Thus, the allocation of Indirect Expense k becomes (function F (IEk) (oj) in

IE rules below):

summed over all objects in grouping j * Ratio 4: Event Count apportionment of IE

Count of events for (oj) are restricted to an event type.

Thus, the allocation of Indirect Expense k becomes (function F (IEk) (oj) in

IE rules below):

$$1 \text{ IEk} * \text{count of event for object oi}$$

F7\

E (count of event for object (o j))

for some event type, summed over all objects in grouping j.

Ratio 5: Transaction Amount apportionment of IE

Summation of event amounts for (ou), restricted to a event type.
Thus, the allocation of Indirect Expense k becomes (function F (IEk)
(01) in

IE rules below):

(event amounts for object (o,))

IEk * event over tile period i

(event amounts for object (oj))

j event over the period

for some event type, summed over all objects in grouping j.

Ratio 6: Direct Expense apportionment of IE.

Using DE rules above for 0,

Thus, the allocation of Indirect Expense k becomes (function F (IEk)
(oj) in

IE rules below):

summed over all objects in grouping j.

'Ratio 7: Normalized (averaged) apportionment of IE

Thus, the allocation of Indirect Expense k becomes in IE rules below:

F (tEJ (o,) = () E using Ratio 1 F (IEk) (oj) + IE using Ratio 3 F

(IEk) (oj)

+ IE using Ratio 6 F (IEk) (oi)]#3.

IE Calculation Rule Type/

Indirect expense is apportioned to accounts using one of the first
three apportionment ratios above. Accordingly, using the nomenclatures
above, IE (o,) = (Total IE to be apportioned)

IE Calculation Rule Type in

The rules for partitioning IE and defining corresponding object groups
are based on product and event attributes. The calculation of IE (o,) is
exactly as described above, and is given by the following, where
the F's are the given apportionment ratios (any of the seven
apportionment ratios are permitted for any partition O or groupings of
objects).

IE Calculation Rule Type///

For Indirect Expense before deferral calculations, the process is
similar to that as listed for the Type II Level, where: IE (oi New
amounts in their first period of amortization

+ Amounts not being amortized (cash basis)

+ Amounts in their 2nd through last amortization period.

Since we include non-amortized amounts (cash basis) to be considered
as amortized with only one period, this is re-written as follows:

IE (oj) = New amounts in their first period of amortization (including
cash-basis)

+ Amounts in their 2nd through last amortization period.

Note that non-amortized amounts are made to fit this equation by
considering them to be an amortization of one profit reporting period
only.

Each I E set can have a different amortization type or period, though
all objects receiving a specific apportionment will share the same
amortization Rule.

The new amounts to be deferred are computed, therefore, as the
following: Before deferral

added over each set IEk to which oi is related.

Each of these terms may be deferred over its amortization period
according to any of the amortization rules (cash, straight line,
declining balance, or interest amortization calculations). Since
amortization methods may vary by set, we have the following, where AM,
(L, R) is used to denote the amortization rule and its life:

To this is added the amounts with remaining amortization life for
which amortization was begun in earlier periods.

IE Calculation Rule Type in

Multiple combinations of the any of the above IE type rules I, II, or

III are calculated per object.

IE Calculation Rule Type V

Any Indirect Expense apportionment calculation that is non-iterative, canonical, and represents the GAAP evaluation of indirect costs.

8. Calculate After-Tax Object Profit for All Objects (see Fig. 13)

$\text{Profit}(oj) = [\text{NIR}(oj) + \text{OR}(oi) - \text{DE}(oi) - \text{IE}(oi) - \text{P}(oi)] * (1 - \text{EffectiveTaxRate})$ where, for a two tier taxation system, Effective Tax Rate is calculated as:

$\text{Effective Tax Rate} = (1 - \text{tax rate } 2) * (\text{tax rate } 1) + \text{tax rate } 2.$

In the calculation of Effective Tax Rate, this formula assumes the two rates are effective rates which apply to the business conditions (not the

nominal statutory rates), and that tax rate can be deducted from income in the

calculation of tax rate Then,

Total Profit

$[\text{Profit}(oj)]$

For those companies which use economic profit value calculations, the formula changes to:

$\{[\text{NIR}(oi) + \text{OR}(oi) - \text{DE}(oi) - \text{IE}(oi) - \text{P}(oi)] * (1 - \text{Profit}(oi) =$

$\text{EffectiveTaxRate})\} - \text{SVA}(oui)$ where

$\text{SVA}(oj) = a(oi) + ss(oi) * \text{Amount}(os)$ and

$a(oj)$, $ss(oj)$ are functions for a cohort of objects in which oi is a member,

and $\text{Amount}(oui)$ is given by a rule which maps oi to a data value (such as

balance, or allocated equity) also defined at the cohort level. (A cohort

defined here represents a grouping of objects with similar risk characteristics, consistent with Modern Portfolio Theory and the Capital

Asset Pricing Model.)

. Shareholder Value Add (SVA) is a method financial analysts use to adjust profit measures for risk. The idea is to subtract from the profit measure the cost of the equity required to support whatever is being measured.

Companies use this risk adjustment measure essentially to burden the profit for risk being taken with the equity funds used by the object.

These institutions will classify cohorts of risk and the risk cost equivalent as a percentage of account balance or allocated equity (i.

e., "Hurdles").

DPM Example

In the airline industry a need for detailed customer profitability can be measured using DPM. Here the fundamental object is the seat, allowing consistent profitability values aggregated by route, aircraft

type, as well as customer dimensions using data warehousing

technology. The need for detailed customer profitability is being

driven by the business impact analysis required to support loyalty and

alliance strategic decisions. The following is a DPM profit

calculation for a seat, with real profit measurement parameters

simplified (not all aspects of true airline business is demonstrated)

and where

examples of each type of rule are utilized in DPM processing.

Flight: Air101

Date: 7/1/1998

From: London

To: New York

Equipment: Boeing 747-400

Classes: First (20 Seats); Business (80 Seats); Economy (300 seats)

DETAILED PROFIT METRIC PROCESSING

Step 1: Populate Database-assuming a relational database management system and terminology.

Initialize database;

Extract, Condition, and Load the following tables: Planes, Flights, Customers, Employees, Locations; The net balances in the Planes entity can be maintained by use of DPM amortization IE calculation from the prior period.

Extract, Condition, and Load the following tables: Financial Transactions,

Events; Manifest (occupant, seat, flight, date attributes);

Extract, Condition, and Load the Financial Statement table; *

Calculate and populate Rate table;

Figure 14 shows a partial relational database schema showing the entities

and attributes used in the example's processing.

Step 2: Maintain Object Groups and Rule Maps-a database processing routine is run creating the following groupings:

Class to Seat

Flight to Locations

Seat to Plane

For ease in understanding the rule the specifications used to populate the database with rule parameters the processing instructions are shown

below in the Rules. Also, most rules group by plane-the rule discussion

below assumes this grouping without reference.

Step 3: Calculate Net Interest for Seat-Four types of NIR rules are processed-type 1, 11, 111, IV for each seat. Interest rates are matched to plane

purchase date for initial plane investments, and interest rates for plane net

capitalizable improvements are funded with a 5 year pool of rates.

Plane asset

balances are kept in the Plane table maintained in Step 1.2 above.

NIR Type/ : Carry cost of plane asset by seat is determined.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

The AAB (seat) parameter is $\text{Plane: net~orig~bal} * (1/\text{total seats on plane})$

The rt parameter is $\text{Treatmentrts: 25rate}$ (maintained for each plane) There is no need for liability rates.

Calculate COF (seat) = $\text{AAB (seat)} * \text{rt}$ for all seats on flight.

All other attributes are NI Type I calculations results are null. No grouping.

NIR Type//: Allocate net receivable/payable to seat for carry cost profit

adjustment. This adjusts profitability for the impact of cash flows vs.

accounting flows. This airline wants to apportion this cost across all revenue seats based on c ! asswt, a modelling parameter. Total weighted seats (tw) for the accounting period is a modelling parameter. Where the seat factor is determined as a ratio of seat footprint to class portion of the plane's seat revenue space. (e. g. 1 S'

= 15%, 2nd = 25% & 3rd = 60% of plane's seat revenue space with each seat evenly apportioned in class-1/20,1/80,1/300 respectively, in this case.)

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

The AB (seat) parameter is $\text{Financial: net recv'ble} * (1/\text{tw})$

)

* The rt parameter is Treatmentrts: pool (for null plane row)

There is no need for liability rates.

Calculate COF (seat) = AB (seat) * rt * clashes ut

Grouped by class all seats, so no seats??? is no-seats1st

value in the plane entity for first class seats on this plane, and

so on for 2nd & 3rd class seat groups. The net recv'ble column is

derived from the difference between sum of periodamts for the

receivables minus sum of payable rows for this profit period.

All other attributes and NI Type II calculations results are null.

NIR Type III: Calculate the NI value of the customer mileage benefit payable for each seat.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

The AB (seat) parameter is Customer: benne-miles * loyalty factor

The rt parameter is Treatmentrts: pool (for null plane row)

There is no need for an asset rate.

Calculate COF (seat) = AB (seat) * rt

Since a customer can only occupy one seat, no groupings are used in this rule map.

All other attributes and NI Type III calculations results are null.

NIR Type IV: Calculate the NI impact of the plane's improvements for each seat. Upper classes interior, customer electronics and seating are improved faster during the life of the plane. Management strategy is for these improvements to be loyalty program related; they are amortized quicker and hence shorter funding requirement with less certain life. Management wants the loyal customers to pay proportionately more of the funding costs of improvement assets.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

The AB (seat) parameter is Plane: imp-net-bal * (1/tsw) The rt parameter is Treatmentrts: pool (for plane row) *

Customer: loyalty-rtng

There is no need for a liability rate.

Calculate COF (seat) = AB (seat) * rt * clashes-fac

The unique class-fac values sum to 1. The rule map is grouped by classes (or class-fac).

All other attributes and NI Type IV calculations results are null.

NI EOAE-Option 4: Allocate equity based on mileage benefit and have it reduce NI by the weighted average cost of capital for the airline.

Rule

Populated in Step2 are:

The Amount (seat) parameter is Customer: bene-miles

The equity rate is 9.75%

Calculate EOAE (seat) = Amount (seat) * equity rate * cohortwt

The cohort is based groups of each instance of loyalty-rtng and class of seat paired. Cohortwt is "beta" and no "alpha."

All other attributes and EOAE calculations results are null.

Step 4: Calculate Other Revenues for each Seat-Revenue arises from ticket fares, duty free sales on board aircraft, excess baggage penalties collected, alliance code sharing license and multiple leg customer trips.

OR Type 1: Apportion revenue from code sharing with alliance.

Apportion revenue by seat allocated to alliance passenger.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

flight: periodamt is alliance revenue per flight plus the sum of all flight/date alliance financial transactions.

* Calculate OR (seat) = sum of Flight: periodamt *

(1/no alliance seats available on flight)

Only for seats occupied by an alliance customer or a seat that is empty.

OR Type// : Use Transaction table to find direct passenger revenue by seat.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Transaction: * (amounts, seats, flight, date, transaction type)

are populated for events, financial or non-financial.

Calculate OR (seat) = sum of Transaction: trnamt

where type = "passengerpayment" for each seat.

No seat grouping in rule map.

OR Type/// : Apportion flight freight revenue amongst all seats, weighted by dasswt.

Rule

Calculate OR (seat) = sum of Transaction: trnamt * clashes-wu

(1/ (no seats???)

where type "freight" for each seat.

Group seats by class (??? Is 1st2nd3rd) Class wt is a

normalized weight for apportioning revenue amongst classes.

OR Type IV: Calculate the loyalty mileage benefit by seat.

Rule

Calculate Forgone OR (seat) = Flight:??? fare-sum of

Transactions: trnamt where type = "passengerpayment" for each seat.

Group by class for loyalty passenger occupied seats only.

Step 5: Calculate Direct Expenses for each Seat

Compute the direct cost of using the seat. This is true for both occupied and

unoccupied seats. Fuel and flight deck crew are costs of all seats,

while cost of duty free goods sold and meals are a function of

occupied seats. Some

costs are a function of the plane taking off, no matter the duration of the flight

(e. g., maintenance.) Some costs are a function of class, such as cabin crew

expense and customer consumables.

DE Type 1 : Show direct cost of non-food materials consumed in flight.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Transactions: * is populated based on these direct costs.

Calculate DE (seat) = Transactions: trnamt for all flight and date

rows where type = directexp for each seat.

No grouping in rule map.

DE Type// : Show direct cost duty free goods sold by seat.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Transactions: * are populated based on these direct costs.

Calculate DE (seat) = Transactions: tmamt for all flight and date

rows where type = duty-free for each seat.

No grouping in rule map.

DE Type///: Show direct cost of food and beverage consumed.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Transactions: * are populated based on these direct costs

Loading is calculated as the ratio of occupied to total class seats by class (cl-load) using manifest table and plane

configuration values.

Calculate DE (seat) = Flight: catering-cost * class-wt * cl-load
for each seat.

Grouped by class in rule map.

DE Type IV : The staffing of each cabin has a maximum count with a minimum of 1 per 50 passengers. The air deck crew must fly the plane even if there are no passengers. Calculate DE twice, once for based on a labor cost per seat based on total crew cost and total seats; and calculate DE a second time with actual staff apportioned to actual passengers.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Total crew cost (tcc1) parameter is derived using the employee entity for all crew on flight. Tec =

Employee: salary+bene * (Flight: schdhours + 1.5)/110

* Total crew cost (tcc2) parameter is derived using the employee entity for all crew on flight by class. Tcc?? =

Employee: salary+bene * (Flight: schdhours + 1.5)/110

Note: tcc = tcc1 st + tcc2nd + tcc3rd

Calculate DE1 (seat) = tcc * (1/ (total no. of seats on plane))

Calculate DE2 (seat) = tcc?? * (1/ (no. of seats occupied in class))

for each seat.

Grouped by class in rule map.

Step 6: Calculate Provisions for each Seat-The cost of expected future events are measured here. The airline self insures property, casualty and

miscellaneous insurance premiums on a per flight basis. And a provision for future loyalty benefit, a function of loyalty rating, claimed is made in step 6.

P Type 1:-The cost of the flight's insurance premium is apportioned to each seat.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Insurance premium is maintained in the flight entity (total PG)

Calculate P (seat) = Flight: ins-prem * 1/ (total no. seats on plane)

No grouping in rule map.

P Type 11 : Provision for unusual maintenance cost

is made on a function of the inverse of flight time and takeoffs in the

last 12 months.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Financial: periodamt is maintained in the financial entity by equipment

type.

Total flight hours and last 12 months take-offs are accumulated each month, their product is tohrs parameter.

Calculate P (seat) = Financial: periodamt * 1/ (total no. of seats on plane) * (Plane: to-last12 * Flight: schdhrs)/tohrs

No seat grouping in rule map.

P Type III: Providing for future loyalty benefit.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Customer: bene-miles is maintained using prior periods provision for benefit miles by loyalty customer * A parameter estimating the usage rate by loyalty cohort called burn factor

Calculate P (seat) = Flight: distance * burn (loyalty-rating)

Grouping by loyalty rating in rule map.

P Type IV: Future order cancellation reserve.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Future airplane order cancellation penalty (pen) and order size (fut planes)

is maintained in the financial entity

Last 12 months loading is calculated by plane

Calculate $P(\text{seat}) = \text{pen} * (\text{Plane: orig-bal/fut-planes}) * 1/(\text{total no. seats on plane}) * 1/24$. where last 12 months loading less than 75%.

Only seats on where last 12 months loading less than 75%.

Step 7: Calculate Indirect Expenses for each Seat-The calculation of indirect expense is the final step of detailed level profit

calculation. Here remaining cost measures that are not differentiable by seat are measured.

Fuel and oil, ground costs, regular aircraft maintenance, overheads, and general marketing expenses are apportioned in IE. The airline also wants to view customer profitability loaded with the cost of unoccupied seats.

IE Type/ : General and administrative costs are apportioned to a seat in this rule.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

The periods financial entity is populated with all of the airlines G & A

expenses (e.. g., type of G & A are passenger services, navigation licenses,

rentals, miscellaneous costs, premises and property taxes.) Allocate these

costs based on seat revenue (NI + OR.)

Calculate $\text{IE}(\text{seat}) = \frac{\text{sum}(\text{Financial: trnamt}) * (\text{sum}(\text{NI}(\text{seat})) + \text{sum}(\text{OR}(\text{seat})))}{(\text{Total OR} + \text{NI for period})}$

No seat grouping in rule map for all Financial: type = "G & A".

IE Type// : Ground location costs, airport specific and gate expenses are apportioned by flight.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Populate all of these expenses for the period Transactions: trnamt with the

type being the three letter international airport identifier.

Calculate the number of seats flown to the flight airports during the profit

period (tfc)

Calculate $\text{IE}(\text{seat}) = \frac{\text{sum of Transactions: trnamt} * \text{tfc}}{2(1/$

Group transactions by pair of airports in flight row for rule map, where only these types of expenses are included in the tuple.

IE Type III : Fixed asset depreciation is allocated to a seat.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

The net plane balances (Plane: net orig bal & Plane: impnetbai) are updated for last period's amortization or write-off.

The amortization factor (function of amortization) given age of asset (for

both original investment and improvements) is amfo and amfi for each plane.

The tax planning estimate for taxable equivalent gross-up, due to accelerated tax amortization, is. $8 = \text{teg}$ (less than 1 since a tax credit)

Calculate $\text{IE}(\text{seat}) = (\text{Plane: net-orig-bal} - \text{Plane:orig-bal})$

* amfo) + (Plane: imp~org~bal - Plane : imp~org~bal) * amfi)) * teg *
 (1/ (total no. seats on plane)) * (Flight: schd~hrs/
 Plane: hrs~last 12/12)

No grouping of seats in rule map.

IE Type IV : Indirect marketing expenses are apportioned by loyalty class for customer profit aggregations and by seat for other aggregations.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Indirect marketing expense per loyalty tier per flight is parameterized as

mef???, where??? is 1st, 2nd, 3rd class.

Calculate IE (seat) = mef??? * (1/ (no. of occupied seats in???)

Group seats by class in rule map.

IE Type V : For loyalty investment analysis, allocate all DE for empty seats to occupied seats equally.

Populated, after all prior steps are calculated, are the total DE less OR for

each flight during the period, ideo.

Calculate IE (seat) = ideo/ (total no. of occupied seats)

Only calculate for occupied seats.

Step 8: Calculate After-tax Seat Profit-The

After-tax Profit :

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

The effective tax rate (etr) for the airline is maintained in the database.

Calculate Profit (seat) = sum (NI (seat) + OR (seat) + DE (seat) + IE (seat) + P (seat)) * (1-etr)

Each seat is calculated individually, no grouping is used.

Shareholder Value-add : The airline has determined that some routes have a greater risk of loss due to the volatility of loading factors. Therefore each route is given a risk factor based on the last 12 months standard deviation of loading.

Rule

Populated in Step 2 are:

Flight: risk factor is maintained here

* Economic equity per average seat parameter (Flight: risk factor) per route

Cost of capital rate is parameterized (eqrt)

Calculate SHV (seat) = Profit (seat) - (Flight: risk-factor eqrt)

Each seat is calculated.

From the foregoing, it will be appreciated that DPM provides a metric of profit measurement consistent with GAAP at a level of detail that has not been accomplished using the traditional General Ledger based data with analytical and/or sample survey based information.

This new ability to resolve profit measures at a detailed level without using analytical models or statistical extrapolation is a capability needed throughout industries that find their ability to determine a marginal decision's profit impact inadequate for optimization of ownership value. The use of rule driven and database measurement processes will give large scale businesses a lower cost of maintenance and technologically scalable tool to measure profit at a level of precision or resolution not possible in prior financial performance measurement processes.

Although a particular embodiment of the invention has been described in detail for purposes of illustration, various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, the invention is not to be limited, except as by the

appended claims.

Data supplied from the esp@cenet database - 12

Claims

Claims

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A process for determining object level profitability in a relational database management system, comprising the steps of: preparing information to be accessed electronically through the relational database management system; establishing, in the relational database, rules for processing the prepared information; calculating independently at least one marginal value of profit for each object being measured using the established rules as applied to a selected set of prepared information; calculating a fully absorbed profit adjustment value for each object being measured; and combining the at least one marginal value of profit and the fully absorbed profit adjustment value to create a measure for object level profitability.
2. The process of claim 1, wherein the relational database comprises a structured query language (SQL).
3. The process of claim 1, wherein the preparing step includes the step of extracting, conditioning and loading object attribute values into the database.
4. The process of claim 1, wherein the preparing step includes the steps of extracting, conditioning and loading financial statement attribute values into the database.
5. The process of claim 1, wherein the preparing step includes the steps of extracting, conditioning and loading event attribute values into the database.
6. The process of claim 1, wherein the preparing step further includes the step of calculating opportunity values of funds used or supplied by each object being measured.
7. The process of claim 1, wherein the establishing step includes the steps of providing the information necessary to select objects, and performing the correct profit calculs.
8. The process of claim 1, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the steps of calculating net interest (NI), other revenue (OR) and direct expense (DE), wherein net interest (NI) is the summation of interest income, value of funds provided and earnings on equity funds used less the sum of interest expense and costs of funds used, other revenue (OR) is a measure of profit contribution from non-interest related sources, and direct expense (DE) is the profit value reduction due to marginal resource consumption by the object.
9. The process of claim 1, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the step of provisioning (P) for the selected set of prepared information, provisioning being the expected profit value adjustment for future outcomes related to the

object.

10. The process of claim 9, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the steps of calculating net interest (NI), other revenue (OR) and direct expense (DE), wherein net interest (NI) is the summation of interest income, value of funds provided and earnings on equity funds used less the sum of interest expense and costs of funds used, other revenue (OR) is a measure of profit contribution from non-interest related sources, and direct expense (DE) is the profit value reduction due to marginal resource consumption by the object.

11. The process of claim 10, wherein the step of calculating a fully absorbed profit adjustment value includes the step of calculating the value for indirect expense (IE) which is an apportioned profit value adjustment for all non-object related resource consumption.

12. The process of claim 11, wherein the combining step includes the steps of adding net interest (NI) and other revenues (OR), and subtracting therefrom direct expense (DE), provisioning (P) and indirect expense (IE).

13. The process of claim 12, including the step of adjusting the measure for object level profitability for taxes and/or object economic value.

14. The process of claim 1, wherein the at least one marginal value of profit is calculated in parallel.

15. The process of claim 1, wherein the fully absorbed profit adjustment value is calculated utilizing the calculated at least one marginal value of profit.

16. A process for determining object level profitability in a relational database management system, comprising the steps of:
repairing information to be accessed electronically through the relational database management system;
establishing, in the relational database, rules for processing the prepared information;
calculating independently at least one marginal value of profit for each object being measured using the established rules as applied to a selected set of prepared information;
calculating a fully absorbed profit adjustment value including value adjustments for taxes and/or object economic value; and
combining the at least one marginal value of profit and the fully absorbed profit adjustment value to create a measure for object level profitability.

17. The process of claim 16, wherein the relational database comprises a structured query language (SQL).

18. The process of claim 16, wherein the preparing step includes the step of extracting, conditioning and loading object attribute values into the database.

19. The process of claim 18, wherein the preparing step includes the steps of extracting, conditioning and loading financial statement attribute values into the database.

20. The process of claim 19, wherein the preparing step includes the steps of extracting, conditioning and loading event attribute values into the database.

21. The process of claim 20, wherein the preparing step further includes the step of calculating opportunity values of funds used or supplied

by each object being measured.

22. The process of claim 16, wherein the establishing step includes the

steps of providing the information necessary to select objects, and performing

the correct profit calculs.

23. The process of claim 16, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the steps of calculating net interest (NI),

other revenue (OR) and direct expense (DE), wherein net interest (NI) is the

summation of interest income, value of funds provided and earnings on equity funds used less the sum of interest expense and costs of funds used, other revenue (OR) is a measure of profit contribution from non-interest related sources, and direct expense (DE) is the profit value reduction due to marginal resource consumption by the object.

24. The process of claim 16, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the step of provisioning (P) for the selected set of prepared information, provisioning being the expected profit value adjustment for future outcomes related to the object.

25. The process of claim 24, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the steps of calculating net interest (NI), other revenue (OR) and direct expense (DE), wherein net interest (NI) is the summation of interest income, value of funds provided and earnings on equity funds used less the sum of interest expense and costs of funds used, other revenue (OR) is a measure of profit contribution from non-interest related sources, and direct expense (DE) is the profit value reduction due to marginal resource consumption by the object.

26. The process of claim 25, wherein the step of calculating a fully absorbed profit adjustment value includes the step of calculating the value for

indirect expense (IE) which is an apportioned profit value adjustment for all

non-object related resource consumption.

27. The process of claim 26, wherein the combining step includes the steps of adding net interest (NI) and other revenues (OR), and subtracting

therefrom direct expense (DE), provisioning (P) and indirect expense (IE).

28. The process of claim 16, wherein the at least one marginal value of profit is calculated in parallel.

29. The process of claim 16, wherein the fully absorbed profit adjustment value is calculated utilizing the calculated at least one marginal value of profit.

30. A process for determining object level profitability in a relational database management system, comprising the steps of: preparing information to be accessed electronically through the relational database management system, including the step of calculating opportunity values of funds used or supplied by each object being measured;

establishing, in the relational database, rules for processing the prepared information;

calculating independently at least one marginal value of profit for each object being measured using the established rules as applied to a selected set of prepared information;

calculating a fully absorbed profit adjustment value for each object being measured; and

combining the at least one marginal value of profit and the fully

absorbed profit adjustment value to create a measure for object level profitability.

31. The process of claim 30, wherein the relational database comprises a structured query language (SQL).

32. The process of claim 30, wherein the preparing step includes the step of extracting, conditioning and loading object attribute values into the database.

33. The process of claim 32, wherein the preparing step includes the steps of extracting, conditioning and loading financial statement attribute values into the database.

34. The process of claim 33, wherein the preparing step includes the steps of extracting, conditioning and loading event attribute values into the database.

35. The process of claim 30, wherein the establishing step includes the steps of providing the information necessary to select objects, and performing the correct profit calculus.

36. The process of claim 30, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the steps of calculating net interest (NI), other revenue (OR) and direct expense (DE), wherein net interest (NI) is the summation of interest income, value of funds provided and earnings on equity funds used less the sum of interest expense and costs of funds used, other revenue (OR) is a measure of profit contribution from non-interest related sources, and direct expense (DE) is the profit value reduction due to marginal resource consumption by the object.

37. The process of claim 30, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the step of provisioning (P) for the selected set of prepared information, provisioning being the expected profit value adjustment for future outcomes related to the object.

38. The process of claim 37, wherein the step of calculating at least one marginal value of profit includes the steps of calculating net interest (NI), other revenue (OR) and direct expense (DE), wherein net interest (NI) is the summation of interest income, value of funds provided and earnings on equity funds used less the sum of interest expense and costs of funds used, other revenue (OR) is a measure of profit contribution from non-interest related sources, and direct expense (DE) is the profit value reduction due to marginal resource consumption by the object.

39. The process of claim 38, wherein the step of calculating a fully absorbed profit adjustment value includes the step of calculating the value for indirect expense (IE) which is an apportioned profit value adjustment for all non-object related resource consumption.

40. The process of claim 39, wherein the combining step includes the steps of adding net interest (NI) and other revenues (OR), and subtracting therefrom direct expense (DE), provisioning (P) and indirect expense (IE).

41. The process of claim 40, including the step of adjusting the measure for object level profitability for taxes and/or object economic value.

42. The process of claim 30, wherein the at least one marginal value of profit is calculated in parallel.

43. The process of claim 30, wherein the fully absorbed profit adjustment value is calculated utilizing the calculated at least one marginal value of profit.

Data supplied from the esp@cenet database - 12

- FFII
- Protecting Information Innovation Against the Abuse of the Patent System
- Overview of European Software Patents